Off I Chrone

Approved For Release 2002/P1715-T01A-RDP62B00844R000200040063-0

SAPC#12075 Copy _ of 5

4 January 1957

MEMORANDUM FOR: Project Director

SUBJECT : Per Diem at Base B

l. I know that you share my concern over the numerous recent cables we have received from ______ regarding what he has referred to as our "discriminatory administrative reduction of per diem" for officers and Government civilians there.

25X1A

2. The presently authorized maximum per diem for Turkey is \$8.00 per day for personnel in a TDY status. We are paying officers and Government civilians at Base B at the rate of \$6.00 per day, which rate was established prior to Detachment B's arrival in Adana (13 August 1956). Enlisted personnel of Detachment B are being paid the maximum amount of \$8.00 per day, but this reflects the significant fact that enlisted personnel are furnished meals, and for each meal twenty percent (20%) of their per diem is deducted. Even considering the charge of \$20.00 per month per trailer for propane being assessed by USAFE, according to recent cable, and figuring the \$9.00 per month utility charge on each trailer, enlisted personnel still come to the end of each day well in the black, as follows:

25X1A

Enlisted Personnel

Daily rate Deduction for meals furnished 60% \$4.80 Daily charge for quarters Daily charge for utilities	\$8.00
Net Deductions \$5.62	5.62
Net Benefit	ბი აც

3. Officer and Government civilian personnel fare almost identically, even assuming the greatest possible daily charges to them, based on living two people to a single trailer (some officers and civilians live three to a trailer, and their costs are proportionately less). This breakdown is as follows:

Officer and Government Civilians (two men to a trailer)

Daily	rate (administrative	reduction)		\$6.00
Daily	cost of meals	* * * * * * * * * *	\$2.25	
Daily	charge for quarters .		•75	
Daily	charge for utilities	• • • • • • • •	•15	
	charge for services .			
	charge for propane			٠

Approved For Release 2002/11/19 : CIA-RDP62B00844R000200040063-0

SAPC-12075 Copy 1 of 5 Page 2

4. Figuring daily costs for officers and Government civilians, living three men to a trailer, the breakdown is as follows:

	TO TO TOTTOWS.		
Officers and Governme	nt Civilians (three me	n to a trailer)	
Daily rate (administr	ative reduction) \$2.2 ters 5 itiès 1	•••••••• \$6.00 5 0 0 7	
Net Deductions 25X1A	\$3.2	<u>3.24</u>	
Net Benefit:		40 mC	
		•••••\$ <u>2.76</u>	
KP services, and this amount is rated out among officers and Go daily benefit by slightly more balance. states source whatever levied on trail	not collected from envernment civilians, the than .03 per day, still that there are no others or their occupants	nlisted personnel. Pro- nis would reduce their ! Il leaving a comfortable er assessments from any s, regardless of station.	
6. When examined over the group are interesting to compar	period of a month, th	e net benefits to each	
S-14 als indicating to compar	e:		
a. Enlisted personnel	***************	\$71.40	
25X1C b. Officers and	(2 to a trailer)		
c. Officers and	(3 to a trailer)	••• \$82.80	
I believe there is a possibility Government civilians are benefit current per diem arrangements ment monthly benefits of a and b of our housing requirements for trailer (the trailers are used to	ring less than enlisted by stem from his examination above. I might point Base B were predicated	nation of the comparative out, however, that all all on three men to a	25X1A
The fact that chose to a trailer does make for bette the time-honored gap between sen think that two men to a trailer	the to house five move to house some of his in living conditions are iors and juniors in the is based on grounds of	en each for TDY personnel). s senior personnel two and for recognition of all service, but I do not	25X1A
			25X1A
four men to a trailer, and one tappointed.	nat is considerably sm	aller and less well	25X1A

7. In summary, I should like to point out one or two very basic facts of law regarding the purposes of a per diem allowance to service and Government civilian personnel. Per diem is designed to cover those expenses which Approved For Release 2002/11/19: CIA-RDP62B00844R000200040063-0

Approved For Release 2002 CIA-RDP62B00844R000200040063-0

SAPC-12075 Copy 1 of 5 Page 3

a IDY traveler incurs in conducting Government business. It is not designed to enable the traveler to make a daily profit as an incentive to get him to take a particular assignment. As far as the business of the appropriateness of an administrative reduction in the maximum amount is concerned, I should like to insert a brief quote from the Standardized Government Travel Regulations, Paragraph 6, Section 2, "Rates of Per Diem":

"The per diem allowance provided in these Regulations represents the maximum allowable, not the minimum. It is the responsibility of each department and establishment to see that travel orders authorize only such per diem allowances as are justified by the circumstances affecting the travel. To this end, care should be exercised to prevent the fixing of a per diem allowance in excess of that required to meet the necessary and authorized expenses."

Even the Joint Travel Regulations (Paragraph 4207Z) recognizes the same matter, by saying:

"The Secretary of the Department concerned (in the case of our Air Force personnel, this would mean the DCI) may authorize no per diem, or rates of per diem in lesser amounts than those indicated in this table, or may waive or extend the time limitation ... "

8. Finally, I feel that our position on the amount of per diem being paid each group at Base B is not only defensible but represents the desirable course of action. To restore officer and Government civilians to a flat maximum per diem of \$8.00 would mean that officers and Government civilians would net monthly benefits of \$131.50 and \$142.50 respectively, using the scales set forth in Paragraph 6, \underline{a} and \underline{b} , of this memorandum. This would be roughly twice the benefit accruing to the enlisted personnel each month, and would, I believe, cause friction between one group and another in addition to being easily challenged in any administrative review of AQUATONE affairs. Therefore, I strongly urge you to approve retention of the administrative reduction that wishes to set aside. To do otherwise, especially in the face of

a possible reduction in trailer rental, utility and service charges in USAFE, would be an unwise course of action, in my view.

25X1A

25X1A

JAMES A. CUNNINGHAM, JR Project Director of Administration PCS/DCI

JAC/slh

Distribution:

¥ - Project Director

2 - D/Project Director 3 - Project Finance Officer 4 - Admin

5 - RI (Chrono)